

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/645,205 | 08/21/2003 | Wendy Nan Rees | REES#1 7251 | |
| | 7590 08/21/2007 | • | EXAMINER | |
| W. Edward Johansen 11661 San Vicente Boulevard | | | JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE | |
| Los Angeles, C. | A 90049 | 049 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1744 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/21/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/645,205 | REES ET AL. | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Krisanne Jastrzab | 1744 | | |
| The M Period for Reply | AILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | |
| WHICHEVER - Extensions of till after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receiv | RED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAME may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Respo | nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ju</u> | ly 2007. | · | | |
| <u> </u> | | action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since t | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of C | Claims | | | | |
| 4a) Of t 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☑ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s | s) <u>2</u> is/are pending in the application. the above claim(s) is/are withdraw s) is/are allowed. s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restriction and/or | | } | | |
| Application Pap | ers | | | | |
| 9)☐ The spe | ecification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | |
| 10)∏ The dra | wing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce | epted or b) objected to by the E | Examiner. | | |
| Applica | nt may not request that any objection to the o | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11)∐ The oat | h or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | |
| Priority under 3 | 5 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | |
| a) | ledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priorical polication from the International Bureau attached detailed Office action for a list of | have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National Stage | | |
| | rences Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (| • | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Statement (s) (PTO/SB/08) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/645,205

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bryant U.S. patent No. 5,040,264.

Bryant clearly teaches a porous substrate in the form of a card impregnated with with an agent such as an essential oil. The card is used with a vauum cleaner and is capable of being cut into strips. See the abstract, column 2, lines 44-55 and column 3, lines 48-68.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bosses U.S. patent No. 5,342,420.

Bosses teaches means to deodorize a vacuum cleaner including a porous substrate in the form of a card or strip impregnated with an active agent including essential oils. The substrate is capable of being cut into strips. See the abstract, column 3, lines 25-68.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Colon U.S. patent No. 5,460,787.

Colon teaches a card impregnated with an active agent such as a fragrance for use in deodorizing areas by attachment to air moving means. The card is capable of

Application/Control Number: 10/645,205

Art Unit: 1744

being cut into strips and capable of being used with a vacuum cleaner. See the abstract and the figures.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Benko et al., US 2003/0091466 A1.

Benko et al., teach a means of releasing a fragrance including a card impregnated with a fragrance agent. The card is capable of being cut into strips and of being used with a vacuum cleaner. See the abstract and the figures.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/27/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the instant claim limitations are not met by the prior art of record because the card of claim 2 is cut into strips and bent at it center prior to insertion into the vacuum, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

The Examiner would continue to maintain that the scented cards of each of the prior art references applied are fully capable of being cut into strips and bent at the center. Applicant's arguments fail to distinguish any structural difference required to use any of the cards of the prior art for such a use.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/645,205

Art Unit: 1744

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 6:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Krisanne Jastrzab/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

August 20, 2007